PAST PERFORMANCE SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

	TRACT IDENTIFICATION			
A.	. CONTRACTOR			
В.	. CONTRACT NUMBER			
C.	. CONTRACT TYPE			
	COMPETITIVE	() YES	() NO	
	FOLLOW-ON	() YES	() NO	
D.	. PERIOD OF PERFORMA	NCE		
		ESTIMATED <u>COST</u>	FEE	TOTAL VALUE
E.	. INITIAL CONTRACT CO	ST		
F.	CURRENT CONTRACT (COST		
G.	. PRODUCT DESCRIPTION AND/OR SERVICE PR			
AGE	NCY IDENTIFICATION			
A.	. NAME			
В.	. DESCRIPTION			
		ITION OF SEDVICES LINDI	ER THIS CONTRACT	

III. EVALUATION

A. PERFORMANCE HISTORY 1. To what extent did the contractor adhere to contract delivery schedules? Considerably surpassed minimum requirements Exceeded minimum requirements Met minimum requirements Less than minimum requirements Comment: 2. To what extent did the contractor submit required reports and documentation in a timely manner? Considerably surpassed minimum requirements Exceeded minimum requirements Met minimum requirements Less than minimum requirements Comment: 3. To what extent were the contractor's reports and documentation accurate and complete? Considerably surpassed minimum requirements Exceeded minimum requirements Met minimum requirements Less than minimum requirements Comment:

	4. To what extent was the contractor able to solve contract performance problems without guidance from government counterparts?					
	Considerably successful					
	Generally successful					
	Little success					
	No success					
Comment:						
	5. To what extent did the contractor displ	lay initiative in meeting requirements?				
	Displayed considerable initiative					
	Displayed some initiative					
	Displayed little initiative					
	Displayed no initiative					
Comment:						
	6. Did the contractor commit adequate resources in timely fashion to the contract to meet the requirement and to successfully solve problems?					
	Provided abundant resources					
	Provided sufficient resources					
	Provided minimal resources					
	Provided insufficient resources					
Comment:						

	7. To what extent did the contractor submit change orders and other required proposals in a timely manner?				
	Considerably surpassed minimum requirements				
	Exceeded minimum requirements				
	Met minimum requirements				
	Less than minimum requirements				
Comment:					
	8. To what extent did the contractor respond positively change orders, etc.?	and promptly to technical directions, contract			
	Considerably surpassed minimum requirements				
	Exceeded minimum requirements				
	Met minimum requirements				
	Less than minimum requirements				
Comment:					
	9. To what extent was the contractor's problem tracking or appropriate content?	g/reporting documentation timely, accurate and			
	Considerably surpassed minimum requirements				
	Exceeded minimum requirements				
	Met minimum requirements				
	Less than minimum requirements				
Comment:					

	10. To what extent was the contractor effective in interfacing with the Government's staff?				
	Extremely effective				
	Generally effective				
	Generally ineffective				
	Extremely ineffective				
Comment:					
В. Т	ERMINATION HISTORY				
	11. Has this contract been partially or c	ompletely terminated	for default or convenie	nce?	
	Yes	Default		Convenience	
	No				
If yes, explai	in (e.g., inability to meet cost, performance	e, or delivery schedule	e)		
	12. Are there any pending terminations	?			
	Yes	No			
If yes, explain	in and indicate the status.				

13. How effective has the contractor been in identifying user requirements? Extremely effective Generally effective Generally ineffective Extremely ineffective Comment: 14. To what extent did the contractor coordinate, integrate, and provide for effective subcontractor management? Considerably surpassed minimum requirements Exceeded minimum requirements Met minimum requirements Less than minimum requirements Comment: 15. To what extent did the contractor provide timely technical assistance, both on-site and off-site, when responding to problems encountered in the field? Considerably surpassed minimum requirements Exceeded minimum requirements Met minimum requirements Less than minimum requirements Comment:

C. EXPERIENCE HISTORY

16. To what extent did the contractor meet the proposed cost estimates? Less than estimated cost Compartively equal to estimate Exceeded the costs Considerably surpassed estimate Comment:

D. COST MANAGEMENT

NARRATIVE SUMMARY Use this section to explain additional information not included above. Printed Name of Person Completing Questionnaire Company Name Address Phone Company Affiliation/Title Signature

Date Completed